

Archives of Clinical Experimental Surgery

Arch Clin Exp Surg 2016;5:148-153 doi:10.5455/aces.20151102085951

# Solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: A population-based comparison with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

G. Paul Wright<sup>1,2</sup>, Alan T. Davis<sup>2,3</sup>, Tracy J. Koehler<sup>3</sup>, Brent J. Goslin<sup>1,2</sup>, Mathew H. Chung<sup>1,2,4</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Solid-pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas (SPTP) is a rare neoplasm that has been investigated only in individual case series from individual institutions. Here, the goal was to perform a population-based analysis of these rare tumors.

**Methods:** A query of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database was made for patients with malignant SPTP and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) from 2001-2010. The primary outcome measure was five-year overall survival. Cox regression analyses were performed using age, race, gender, tumor location, stage of tumor, and histologic type.

**Results:** 107 patients with SPTP and 53,353 with PDAC were identified for study. Patients with SPTP were younger (p<0.001), more often female (p<0.001), and less commonly white (p<0.001) than those with PDAC. SPTPs were also more frequently located in the tail (p<0.001), of lesser stage (p<0.001), and more likely to undergo surgical treatment (p<0.001) than PDAC. The overall 5-year survival was 84.7% for SPTP and 2.8% for PDAC. For those patients who underwent surgical treatment, 5-year overall and cancer-specific survival was 92.7% and 95.9% for SPTP and 13.5% and 16.7% for PDAC, respectively.

**Conclusion:** SPTP is a rare pancreatic neoplasm found more commonly in young women in the tail of the pancreas and is associated with a significantly more favorable prognosis than PDAC.

Key words: Pseudopapillary, pancreatic cancer, pancreatic mass, SPTP

## Introduction

Solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas (SPTP) is a rare pancreatic neoplasm. It comprises less than 1% of all pancreatic tumors [1]. To date, these tumors have been studied in the form of individual case series at high-volume centers [2-4].

challenge for the hepatobiliary surgeon. Preoperative diagnosis has been made in approximately 25% of patients undergoing surgical resection [2]. This pancreatic neoplasm occurs predominantly in young women with low-grade behavior [5]. Their malignant potential, however, is heterogenous and aggressive variants account for 15% of all cases [6].

The rarity of these tumors can create a diagnostic

Author affiliations
 : 1GRMEP/MSU General Surgery Residency Program, Grand Rapids, MI, USA 2Department of Surgery, Michigan State University College of Human Medicine, Grand Rapids, MI, USA 3Grand Rapids Medical Education Partners, Research Department, Grand Rapids, MI, USA 4Spectrum Health Medical Group, Division of Surgical Specialties, Grand Rapids, MI, USA

 Correspondence
 : G. Paul Wright, MD, Department of Surgery, Michigan State University College of Human Medicine, Grand Rapids, MI, USA.

e-mail: wrightgp@upmc.edu

Received / Accepted : October 07, 2015 / October 21, 2015

Obtaining an accurate diagnosis is of utmost importance as long-term survival has been reported with aggressive surgical resection even in patients with metastatic disease. These tumors differ significantly in behavior from the more common pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). With this stark contrast in behavior, it is important to identify differences in presentation and survival between these two entities. Here, the aim was to investigate a nationwide database to describe the features and survival of SPTP in comparison with PDAC in a US population.

## Methods

The study was designed as a retrospective review utilizing the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database and thus was granted exemption from local Institutional Review Board review. The SEER database is a nationwide initiative coordinated by the National Cancer Institute and consists of data from 18 cancer registries. These data are representative of approximately 28% of the US population [7]. The data were obtained from the most recent iteration of the database, SEER Data 1973-2010, made available for use on April 24, 2013.

The time frame of the study was from 2001 to 2010. Adult patients with SPTP were identified by a query of the SEER database for ICD-0-3 code 8452.3. Data gathered on SPTP included demographics, year of diagnosis, tumor location within the pancreas, grade, staging information, surgical treatment, receipt of radiotherapy, and survival. Patients with PDAC during the same time period were identified by ICD-0-3 code 8140.3.

Univariate analyses were performed between patients with SPTP and PDAC using Chi-squared testing. Cox proportional hazards regression models were constructed to evaluate factors associated with survival amongst SPTP alone to include age group, race, gender, tumor location, and American Joint Commission on Cancer (AJCC) stage. The same model was then applied to all patients with SPTP and PDAC and adding pathologic diagnosis as a variable. Finally, patients who underwent surgical therapy exclusively were analyzed with the same model with the addition of surgical procedure as a variable. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses were performed to compare overall and cancer-specific

Archives of Clinical and Experimental Surgery

5-year survival between SPTP and PDAC. Significance was determined by p < 0.05. SEER\*Stat 8.1.2 (National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD) was used to perform the case query and statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 20.0 (IBM, Amherst, NY).

## Results

A total of 107 patients with SPTP and 53,353 with PDAC were identified. Patients with SPTP were younger than those with PDAC as 80.4% were less than 55 years old (Table 1). There were a higher proportion of black and female patients with SPTP. PDAC occurred more commonly in the head of the pancreas while SPTP was most common in the pancreatic tail. SPTP tumors were smaller by the T stage and rarely

VariableSPTPPDACP valueAge18-34 years46/107 (43.0%)136/53353 (0.3%)35-54 years40/107 (37.4%)6869/53353 (12.9%)-0.00155-69 years16/107 (15.0%)19668/53353 (36.9%)-0.00157.09 years5/107 (4.7%)26680/53353 (12.2%)-0.001FmaleBlack20/107 (18.7%)6485/53214 (12.2%)0.001Other13 (12.1%)3524/53214 (6.6%)0.001GenderFemale88/107 (82.2%)25956/53353 (34.6%)-0.001Male19/107 (17.8%)27397/53353 (51.4%)-0.001Male19/107 (7.5%)3821/53353 (50.8%)-0.001TurmorSol0y9/107 (84.7%)5975/53353 (11.2%)-0.001Outer8/107 (7.5%)3821/53353 (12.2%)-0.001Total50/107 (46.7%)6300/53353 (11.8%)-0.001Outer8/107 (7.5%)10131/53353 (10.0%)-0.001Total50/107 (46.7%)1058609 (27.3%)-0.001Total50/107 (46.7%)105874/38609 (41.1%)-0.001Total8/107 (7.5%)1057/38609 (27.3%)-0.001Total20/96 (0.3%)15079/37331 (39.8%)-0.001AstageN09/1100 (9.0%)15079/37331 (39.8%)-0.001AstageN19/100 (9.0%)15079/37331 (39.8%)-0.001AstageN19/100 (9.0%)15079/37331 (39.8%)-0.001AstageN19/100 (9.0%)15079/37331 (39.8%)-0.001AstageN1	Table 1. Presentation characteristics.				
Age18-34 years46/107 (43.0%)136/53353 (0.3%) 6869/53353 (12.9%) 56-69 years16/107 (15.0%)19668/53353 (12.9%) 6680/53353 (0.0%)>70 years5/107 (4.7%)26680/53353 (12.0%) 200 years20107 (18.7%)6485/53214 (12.2%) 200 discontent (12.2%)EthnicityWhite74/107 (69.2%)43205/53214 (81.2%) 200 discontent (13.12.1%)3524/53214 (6.6%)Cender88/107 (82.2%)25956/53353 (48.6%) 27397/53353 (51.4%)0.001Male19/107 (17.8%)27397/53353 (51.4%) 27397/53353 (51.4%)0.001Body9/107 (8.4%)5975/53353 (11.2%) 20010.001Turof8/107 (7.5%)821/53353 (7.2%)0.001Overlapping8/107 (7.5%)821/53353 (12.6%) 20040.001Overlapping8/107 (7.5%)10131/53353 (19.0%)0.001Overlapping8/107 (7.5%)10131/53353 (19.0%)0.001Tail50/107 (46.7%)103809 (3.9%)0.001Tail50/107 (46.7%)1031/53353 (19.0%)0.001Tail20/94 (27.7%)15874/38609 (41.1%)0.001Tail10/10 (9.0%)15079/37931 (39.8%)0.001N Stage11/100 (91.0%)2852/37931 (60.2%)0.001Alyce11/100 (91.0%)2852/37931 (60.2%)0.001Alyce13/96 (13.5%)2825/47664 (13.5%)0.001Alyce11/100 (91.0%)2852/37931 (60.2%)0.001Alyce11/100 (91.0%)2852/37931 (60.2%)0.001Alyce13/96 (13.5%)2825/47664 (13.5%) <th>Variable</th> <th></th> <th>SPTP</th> <th>PDAC</th> <th>p value</th>	Variable		SPTP	PDAC	p value
Black         20/107 (18.7%)         6485/53214 (12.2%)         0.005           Ethnicity         White         74/107 (69.2%)         43205/53214 (81.2%)         0.005           Other         13 (12.1%)         3524/53214 (6.6%)         -0.001           Gender         Female         88/107 (82.2%)         25956/53353 (48.6%)         -0.001           Male         19/107 (17.8%)         27397/53353 (51.4%)         -0.001           Male         19/107 (84.%)         5975/53353 (11.2%)         -0.001           Male         9/107 (84.%)         5975/53353 (11.2%)         -0.001           Overlapping         8/107 (7.5%)         3821/53353 (7.2%)         -0.001           Overlapping         8/107 (7.5%)         10131/5353 (19.0%)         -0.001           TStage         T1         7/94 (7.4%)         1490/38609 (3.9%)         -0.001           T2         57/94 (60.6%)         10688/38609 (27.7%)         -0.001           T3         26/94 (27.7%)         15874/38609 (41.1%)         -0.001           N1         9/100 (9.0%)         15079/37931 (39.8%)         -0.001           AJCC         N1         9/100 (9.0%)         15079/37931 (39.8%)         -0.001           ILI         20/96 (2.3%)         4840/47664 (10.2%) <td>Age</td> <td>18-34 years 35-54 years 55-69 years &gt;70 years</td> <td>46/107 (43.0%) 40/107 (37.4%) 16/107 (15.0%) 5/107 (4.7%)</td> <td>136/53353 (0.3%) 6869/53353 (12.9%) 19668/53353 (36.9%) 26680/53353 (50.0%)</td> <td>&lt;0.001</td>	Age	18-34 years 35-54 years 55-69 years >70 years	46/107 (43.0%) 40/107 (37.4%) 16/107 (15.0%) 5/107 (4.7%)	136/53353 (0.3%) 6869/53353 (12.9%) 19668/53353 (36.9%) 26680/53353 (50.0%)	<0.001
Gender         Female         88/107 (82.2%)         25956/53353 (48.6%)         -0.01           Male         19/107 (17.8%)         27397/53353 (51.4%)         -0.01           Head         32/107 (29.9%)         27126/53353 (51.4%)	Ethnicity	Black White Other	20/107 (18.7%) 74/107 (69.2%) 13 (12.1%)	6485/53214 (12.2%) 43205/53214 (81.2%) 3524/53214 (6.6%)	0.005
Head         32/107 (29.9%)         27126/53353 (50.8%)         Augment           Tumor         Body         9/107 (8.4%)         5975/53353 (11.2%)         40.011           Tail         50/107 (46.7%)         6300/53353 (11.8%)         40.011           Overlapping         8/107 (7.5%)         3821/53353 (7.2%)         40.011           Other         8/107 (7.5%)         10131/53353 (19.0%)         40.011           T Stage         T1         7/94 (7.4%)         1490/38609 (3.9%)         40.011           T Stage         T1         7/94 (60.6%)         10688/38609 (27.7%)         40.011           T Stage         T4         4/94 (4.3%)         10557/38609 (27.3%)         40.011           N Stage         N0         91/100 (91.0%)         22852/37931 (60.2%)         40.011           N Stage         IA         7/96 (7.3%)         557/47664 (1.2%)         40.001           IB         48/96 (50.0%)         2086/47664 (10.2%)         40.011         40.011         40.011           Stage         IIA         20/96 (2.1%)         6435/47664 (13.5%)         40.011         40.011           IB         48/96 (50.0%)         22825/47664 (59.2%)         40.011         40.011         40.0105 (38.1%)         708/52636 (1.3%)         40.0	Gender	Female Male	88/107 (82.2%) 19/107 (17.8%)	25956/53353 (48.6%) 27397/53353 (51.4%)	<0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tumor Location	Head Body Tail Overlapping Other	32/107 (29.9%) 9/107 (8.4%) 50/107 (46.7%) 8/107 (7.5%) 8/107 (7.5%)	27126/53353 (50.8%) 5975/53353 (11.2%) 6300/53353 (11.8%) 3821/53353 (7.2%) 10131/53353 (19.0%)	<0.001
N Stage         N0         91/100 (91.0%)         22852/37931 (60.2%)         -0.01           N1         9/100 (9.0%)         15079/37931 (39.8%)         -0.01           IA         7/96 (7.3%)         557/47664 (1.2%)	T Stage	T1 T2 T3 T4	7/94 (7.4%) 57/94 (60.6%) 26/94 (27.7%) 4/94 (4.3%)	1490/38609 (3.9%) 10688/38609 (27.7%) 15874/38609 (41.1%) 10557/38609 (27.3%)	<0.001
IA         7/96 (7.3%)         557/47664 (1.2%)           IB         48/96 (50.0%)         2086/47664 (4.4%)           IB         20/96 (20.8%)         4840/47664 (10.2%)           IIA         20/96 (20.8%)         4840/47664 (10.2%)           IIB         6/96 (6.3%)         5521/47664 (11.6%)           III         2/96 (2.1%)         6435/47664 (13.5%)           III         2/96 (13.5%)         28225/47664 (59.2%)           IV         13/96 (13.5%)         28225/47664 (59.2%)           DP <sup>b</sup> 40/105 (38.1%)         708 /52636 (10.0%)           DP <sup>b</sup> 13/105 (12.4%)         801/52636 (1.5%)           IP <sup>c</sup> 13/105 (12.4%)         801/52636 (0.3%)           Local excision         6/105 (5.7%)         144/52636 (0.3%)           No surgery         22/105 (21.0%)         45743/52636 (86.9%)           Radiation         Yes         4/105 (3.8%)         9526/52603 (18.1%)	N Stage	N0 N1	91/100 (91.0%) 9/100 (9.0%)	22852/37931 (60.2%) 15079/37931 (39.8%)	<0.001
PDa         24/105 (22.9%)         5240/52636 (10.0%)           DPb         40/105 (38.1%)         708 /52636 (1.3%)           TPc         13/105 (12.4%)         801/52636 (1.5%)           Local excision         6/105 (5.7%)         144/52636 (0.3%)           No surgery         22/105 (21.0%)         45743/52636 (86.9%)           Radiation         Yes         4/105 (3.8%)         9526/52603 (18.1%)           No         101/105 (96.2%)         43077/52603 (81.9%)	AJCC Stage	IA IB IIA IIB III IV	7/96 (7.3%) 48/96 (50.0%) 20/96 (20.8%) 6/96 (6.3%) 2/96 (2.1%) 13/96 (13.5%)	557/47664 (1.2%) 2086/47664 (4.4%) 4840/47664 (10.2%) 5521/47664 (11.6%) 6435/47664 (13.5%) 28225/47664 (59.2%)	<0.001
Yes         4/105 (3.8%)         9526/52603 (18.1%)         <0.001           No         101/105 (96.2%)         43077/52603 (81.9%)         <0.001	Surgical Treatment	PD <sup>a</sup> DP <sup>b</sup> TP <sup>c</sup> Local excision No surgery	24/105 (22.9%) 40/105 (38.1%) 13/105 (12.4%) 6/105 (5.7%) 22/105 (21.0%)	5240/52636 (10.0%) 708 /52636 (1.3%) 801/52636 (1.5%) 144/52636 (0.3%) 45743/52636 (86.9%)	<0.001
	Radiation	Yes No	4/105 (3.8%) 101/105 (96.2%)	9526/52603 (18.1%) 43077/52603 (81.9%)	<0.001

#### Solid pseudopapillary tumors

Variable		HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	p valu
Age	19.24 маста		<0.00
	35-54 years	1.0 (0.6-1.6)	0.875
	55-69 years	1.1 (0.6-1.8)	0.853
	>70 years	1.4 (0.8-2.3)	0.237
Ethnicity			0.002
	White	1	
	Black	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	0.001
	Other	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	0.562
Gender	Female	1	
	Male	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	0.001
Tumor Locatio	n		0.142
AJCC Stage			<0.00
	I	1	
	II	1.8 (1.6-2.0)	<0.00
	III	2.7 (2.4-3.1)	<0.00
	IV	4.0 (3.5-4.6)	<0.00
Detheles	SPTP⁵	1	
Pathology	PDAC°	21.3 (7.8-57.9)	< 0.00
Surgical Treat	ment		<0.00
	PDd	1	
	DPe	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	0.241
	TP <sup>f</sup>	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	<0.00
	Local Excision	1.6 (1.3-1.9)	<0.00
	Pancreatectomy,	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	<0.00

aValue = 1 indicates reference group, bSPTP=solid-pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas, °PDAC=pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, <sup>d</sup>PD=pancreaticoduodenectomy, <sup>e</sup>DP=distal pancreatectomy, <sup>f</sup>TP=total pancreatectomy

NOS

tients with SPTP underwent surgical treatment while only 13.1% of patients with PDAC were treated with surgery.

Among patients with SPTP, factors associated with poorer survival were male gender and higher AJCC stage (Table 2). For all patients, increasing age, black ethnicity, male gender, tumors in the tail or overlapping regions, and a more advanced AJCC stage were poor prognostic factors (Table 3). Patients with PDAC had an 11-fold increased risk of mortality. With subgroup analysis of only patients who underwent surgery, black ethnicity, male gender, and a more advanced AJCC stage remained factors associated with decreased survival (Table 4). Additionally, patients undergoing total pancreatectomy, local excision, or other pancreatectomy had decreased survival compared with pancreati-

### Table 2. Factors associated with mortality for SPTP.

Variables		HR (95% CI)ª	p value
Age	•		0.063
Ethnicity			0.757
Condon	Female	1	
Gender	Male	4.5 (1.4-14.6)	0.012
Tumor Location			0.096
AJCC Stage			0.022
	1	1	
	П	4.5 (0.8-24.7)	0.085
	Ш	16.7 (1.4-193.2)	0.025
	IV	10.6 (2.1-54.6)	0.005

SPTP=solid-pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas, aValue = 1 in dicates reference group

Table 3. Factors associated with mortality for all subjects.				
Variable		HR (95% CI)ª	p value	
Age			<0.001	
	18-34 years	1		
	35-54 years	1.2 (1.0-1.5)	0.030	
	55-69 years	1.4 (1.2-1.7)	<0.001	
	>70 years	1.9 (1.6-2.3)	<0.001	
Ethnicity			<0.001	
	White	1		
	Black	1.2 (1.1-1.2)	<0.001	
	Other	0.96 (0.93-1.0)	0.048	
Condor	Female	1		
Gender	Male	1.1 (1.0-1.1)	<0.001	
Tumor Location			<0.001	
	Head	1		
	Body	1.0 (1.0 – 1.1)	0.127	
	Tail	1.1 (1.09-1.16)	<0.001	
	Overlapping	1.1 (1.06-1.14)	<0.001	
	Other	1.2 (1.16-1.23)	<0.001	
AJCC Stage			<0.001	
	I	1		
	Ш	1.1 (1.0-1.1)	0.006	
	III	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	<0.001	
	IV	2.7 (2.5-2.8)	<0.001	
Dethelogy	SPTP⁵	1		
Faulology	PDAC°	11.1 (6.4-19.1)	<0.001	
<sup>a</sup> Value = 1 indicates reference group, <sup>b</sup> SPTP=solid-pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas, <sup>o</sup> PDAC=pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma				

had any associated lymphadenopathy, with over half of patients presenting with AJCC stage I disease. In contrast, nearly 40% of PDAC cases had lymphadenopathy and 59.2% were AJCC stage IV at diagnosis. Most pa151

Table 5. Survival of SPTP and PDAC.					
Receptor Status	Histologic Subtype	5-Year Overall Survival	p value	5-Year Cancer-Specific Survival	p value
All	SPTP <sup>a</sup>	84.7% (76.7%-92.6%)	<0.001	88.9% (78.5%-94.4%)	<0.001
	PDAC <sup>b</sup>	2.8% (2.6%-3.0%)		3.8% (3.5%-4.0%)	
Surgical Patients	SPTP	92.7% (85.7%-99.9%)	-0.001	95.9% (90.0%-100%)	<0.001
	PDAC	13.5% (12.6%-14.5%)	<0.001	16.7% (15.4%-17.9%)	
*SPTP=solid-oseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas. *PDAC=pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma					







Figure 2. Survival for SPTP and PDAC - Surgical patients.

coduodenectomy. The survival risk conferred by PDAC compared with SPTP increased to 21-fold among surgical patients.

Five-year overall and cancer-specific survival for SPTP was 84.7% and 88.9%, respectively (Figure 1) (Table 5). In contrast, the same data for PDAC showed rates of 2.8% and 3.8%, respectively, over the same time period. For surgical patients, the 5-year overall and cancer-specific survivals improved to 92.7% and 95.9% for SPTP and 13.5% and 16.7% for PDAC, respectively.

## Discussion

Tumors of the pancreas are often a diagnostic dilemma. Solid lesions are most commonly PDAC, portending a dismal prognosis. On the other hand, cystic lesions have a widely differential diagnosis, particularly when a solid component is present. SPTP is a rare histologic variant among these lesions. This neoplasm has been described in case series from select high-volume institutions.

The current study utilized the SEER database for a population-based analysis of patients with SPTP. The demographics of patients with SPTP varied greatly from PDAC. Patients with SPTP were much younger than those with PDAC. This is consistent with historical literature on the matter as SPTP has even been described in pediatric populations [8]. Conversely, PDAC was found to be exceedingly rare in patients younger than age 35.

There were a greater percentage of non-Caucasian patients with SPTP, though ethnicity did not impact survival. When analyzing all patients, black ethnicity was an independent predictor of diminished survival and "other" race designation was associated with improved survival when compared to white patients. The decreased survival in black patients remained when analyzing those who underwent surgery. This survival disparity has been investigated in previous studies and may be associated with reduced referral to high-volume centers [9,10]. It should continue to be a focus of quality improvement in pancreatic cancer.

SPTP occurs most commonly in female patients. Previous studies have described the proportion of female patients affected to be 90% [11]. There was a higher proportion of males in the current study cohort than previously described. A novel finding here was that male gender was associated with a greater than 4-fold risk of mortality. Through subgroup analysis, male patients were more likely to present with stage IV disease and less likely to undergo surgery than their female counterparts. It is unclear why males presented

Archives of Clinical and Experimental Surgery

with apparently more aggressive variants than females but this suggests there may be a hormonal impact. Future investigation of this phenomenon is warranted.

Another key feature of SPTP is the location within the pancreas. Nearly half of SPTPs were located in the tail. In contrast, PDAC was more likely to be found in the head of the pancreas. The differential diagnosis for a pancreatic tumor in the tail of the pancreas in a young female must include SPTP.

The most significant distinguishing feature of SPTP from PDAC is the stage at presentation. Early stage disease (stage I or II) was found in 84.4% of patients with SPTP compared with 27.4% with PDAC. Concordantly, 79.0% of patients with SPTP underwent surgery compared to only 13.1% with PDAC. It is unclear why less than half of patients with early stage PDAC underwent surgical treatment. A study by Bilimoria et al. found that only 28.6% of patients with clinical stage I PDAC receive surgical treatment, explained in part by a combination of patient and hospital factors [12]. What may be observed here is similar causative trends in these data. Overall, patients with SPTP were more likely to undergo more aggressive surgery (total pancreatectomy 12.4% vs. 1.5% in PDAC). However, these findings become similar in PDAC when investigating only patients who underwent surgery (total pancreatectomy in 11.6%). Additionally, adjuvant radiotherapy was rare in cases of SPTP versus PDAC.

PDAC is the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths in the US despite being only the eleventh most common in incidence [13]. This study reinforced the lethal nature of PDAC with 5-year overall and cancer-specific survival of 2.8% and 3.8%, respectively. This improved marginally among patients treated with surgery. On the contrary, SPTP was associated with excellent survival outcomes. This was particularly noteworthy in patients undergoing surgery, with 5-year cancer-specific survival of 95.9%. Aggressive surgical resection should continue to be the standard of care in SPTP in light of these findings.

The main limitations of this study are related to the SEER database. The number of patients available for study was less than desired. This underscores the rare nature of the disease. As well, other factors that could contribute to outcomes, such as co-morbid conditions, were not investigated. The impact of tumor markers, such as CA19-9 or carcinoembryonic antigens, was outside the scope of the database, as well. If there is reasonable uncertainty regarding the diagnosis of a pancreatic neoplasm, endoscopic ultrasound with fine needle aspiration may be useful in additional characterization of these lesions.

Solid pseudopapillary tumors

In conclusion, SPTP of the tumor is a rare pancreatic neoplasm found most commonly in the tail of the pancreas in young females. Once a diagnosis of SPTP has been made, aggressive surgical resection can lead to very favorable survival outcomes.

# **Conflict of interest statement**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. **References** 

- Camp ER, Tamm EP, Gomez HF, Wang H, Evans DB. Unusual Pancreatic Tumors. In: Yeo CJ, Dempsey DT, Klein AS, Pemberton JH, Peters JH (eds.) Shackelford's Surgery of the Alimentary Tract, 6th ed. Elsevier Saunders, Pennsylvania, 2006;1431-9.
- Ye J, Ma M, Cheng D, Yuan F, Deng X, Zhan Q, et al. Solid-Pseudopapillary Tumor of the Pancreas: Clinical Features, Pathological Characteristics, and Origin. J Surg Onc 2012;106:728-35.
- Butte JM, Brennan MF, Gonen M, Tang LH, D'Angelica MI, Fong Y, et al. Solid Pseudopapillary Tumors of the Pancreas. Clinical Features, Surgical Outcomes, and Long-Term Survival in 45 Consecutive Patients from a Single Center. J Gastrointest Surg 2011;15:350-7.
- 4. Reddy S, Cameron JL, Scudiere J, Hruban RH, Fishman EK, Ahuja N, et al. Surgical Management of Solid-Pseudopapillary Neoplasms of the Pancreas (Franz or Hamoudi Tumors): A Large Single-Institutional Series. J Am Coll Surg 2009;208:950-9.
- Mortenson MM, Katz MHG, Tamm EP, Bhutani MS, Wang H, Evans DB, et al. Current diagnosis and management of unusual pancreatic tumors. Am J Surg 2008;196:100-13.
- Tang LH, Aydin H, Brennan MF, Klimstra DS. Clinically aggressive solid pseudopapillary tumors of the pancreas: a report of two cases with components of undifferentiated carcinoma and a comparative clinicopathologic analysis of 34 conventional cases. Am J Surg Pathol 2005;29:512-9.

## Wright GP et al.

- National Cancer Institute. Overview of the SEER program. Available via: http://seer.cancer.gov/ about/overview.html (Accessed: March 24, 2014).
- Lee SE, Jang JY, Hwang DW, Park KW, Kim SW. Clinical Features and Outcome of Solid Pseudopapillary Neoplasm: Differences Between Adults and Children. Arch Surg 2008;143:1218-21.
- 9. Lucas FL, Stukel TA, Morris AM, Siewers AE, Birkmeyer JD. Race and surgical mortality in the United States. Ann Surg 2006;243:281-6.
- Chang DC, Zhang Y, Mukherjee D, Wolfgang CL, Schulick RD, Cameron JL, et al. Variations in referral patterns to high-volume centers for pancreatic cancer. J Am Coll Surg 2009;209:720-6.

- 11. Papavramidis T, Papavramidis S. Solid pseudopapillary tumors of the pancreas: Review of 718 patients reported in the English literature. J Am Coll Surg 2005;200:965-72.
- Bilimoria KY, Bentrem DJ, Ko CY, Stewart AK, Winchester DP, Talamonti MS. National failure to operate on early stage pancreatic cancer. Ann Surg 2007;246:173-80.
- Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, Garshell J, Neyman N, Altekruse SF, Kosary CL, Yu M, Ruhl J, Tatalovich Z, Cho H, Mariotto A, Lewis DR, Chen HS, Feuer EJ, Cronin KA (eds). SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2010. http://seer.cancer.gov/ csr/1975\_2010 (Accessed: March 24, 2014).

© SAGEYA. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, noncommercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

153